# **Texas Education Agency** 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: SADLER MEANS YWLA Campus ID: 227901065 District Name: AUSTIN ISD

# Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A	t or Ab	State l	District evel II S	Campus atisfacto	African American ry Standa	Hispanio rd (2016)	: White or Pha	Americar Indian ase-in 1 L	Asian	Pacific Islander		Special		ELL	Female	Male N	ligrant
Grade 6																	
Reading	2016 2015		68% 72%	47% 48%	33% 40%	49% 50%	*	*	*	-	*	*	46% 47%	40% 28%	47% 48%	-	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		70% 70%	53% 43%	46% 31%	53% 47%	*	*	*	- -	*	42%	52% 42%	51% 33%	53% 43%	-	-
Grade 7																	
Reading	2016 2015		68% 67%	48% 50%	36% 39%	53% 59%	*	*	*	-	*	*	46% 47%	36% 23%	48% 50%	-	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		56% 57%	24% 53%	22% 29%	25% 64%	*	*	*	-	- *	*	24% 50%	10% 34%	24% 53%	-	-
Writing	2016 2015		63% 62%	42% 44%	45% 39%	42% 49%	*	*	*	-	- *	*	40% 42%	21%	42% 44%	-	-
Grade 8																	
Reading	2016 2015		82% 81%	76% 67%	83% 65%	75% 70%	100%	-	*	-	*	57% *	74% 67%	56% 53%	76% 67%	-	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		80% 70%	74% 35%	83% 33%	72% 37%	83%	-	*	-	-	47% *	71% 35%	56% 24%	74% 35%	-	-
Science	2016 2015		73% 69%	58% 54%	42% 50%	64% 58%	86%	-	*	-	*	50% *	54% 54%	40% 40%	58% 54%	-	-
Social Studies	2016 2015		62% 61%	45% 14%	39%	45% 18%	71% *	-	*	-	*	46% *	40% 13%	26%	45% 14%	-	-
End of Course Algebra I	2016 2015		79% 78%	100% 100%	100% -	100% 100%	*	-	*	-	*	-	100% 100%	100%	100% 100%	-	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 2015		74% 73%	53% 46%	49% 36%	53% 51%	70% 58%	*	36% 16%	-	80% 100%	28% 23%	50% 45%	39% 29%	53% 46%	-	-
Reading	2016 2015		72% 73%	55% 54%	50% 45%	56% 58%	71% 86%	*	*	-	*	18% 23%	53% 52%	42% 34%	55% 54%	-	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		75% 72%	54% 46%	54% 31%	53% 52%	71% *	*	45% *	-	*	33% 23%	52% 45%	43% 32%	54% 46%	-	-
Writing	2016 2015		68% 66%	42% 44%	45% 39%	42% 49%	*	*	*	-	- *	*	40% 42%	21%	42% 44%	-	-
Science	2016 2015		79% 76%	58% 54%	42% 50%	64% 58%	86%	-	*	-	*	50% *	54% 54%	40% 40%	58% 54%	-	-
Social Studies		76%	76% 75%	45% 14%	39%	45% 18%	71% *	-	*	-	*	46%	40% 13%	26%	45% 14%	-	-
STAAR Percent at																	
	riilal	Level	I OI AD	046													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		46% 42%	22% 13%	17% 6%	23% 16%	39% 26%	*	19% 0%	-	10% 22%	17% 15%	20% 12%	12% 5%	22% 13%	- -	-

Reading	2016		Distrio	ct Cam	pus An	african nerican 18%	Hispani 24%	ic White 47%	Americ Indiai	an n Asiar *		С	wo or More S Races			<b>ELL</b> 11%	Female 23%	e Male	e Miç	grant -
	2015		44%	15		8%	17%	43%	*	*	-		*	15%	14%	7%	15%	-		-
Mathematics	2016 2015		44% 39%	22° 11°		16% 5%	23% 14%	29%	*	27% *	-		*	14% 15%	21% 10%	15% 4%	22% 11%	-		-
Writing	2016 2015		43% 33%	16° 10°		7% 4%	17% 14%	*	*	*	-		- *	*	14% 8%	2%	16% 10%	-		-
Science	2016 2015		50% 45%	31° 22°		21% 11%	33% 28%	43%	-	*	-		*	43% *	29% 22%	11% 3%	31% 22%	-		-
Social Studies	2016 2015		50% 45%	22° 8%		22%	21% 9%	29%	-	*	- -		*	38%	19% 6%	14%	22% 8%	-		-
STAAR Percent at	Level	III Adv	ance	d																
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		21% 19%	5% 4%		2% 2%	5% 4%	11% 11%	*	6% 0%	-		0% 0%	3% 1%	4% 3%	2% 2%	5% 4%	-		- -
Reading	2016 2015		21% 21%	6% 5%		3% 5%	7% 5%	18% 14%	*	*	-		*	0% 0%	5% 4%	2% 1%	6% 5%	-		-
Mathematics	2016 2015		21% 17%	3% 3%		0% 0%	4% 4%	0%	*	9%	-		*	2% 0%	3% 3%	2% 1%	3% 3%	-		-
Writing	2016 2015		17% 10%	5% 2%		7% 0%	3% 3%	*	*	*	-		- *	*	4% 1%	0%	5% 2%	-		-
Science	2016 2015		20% 19%	6% 4%		0% 0%	9% 6%	14% *	-	*	-		*	14%	5% 5%	3% 3%	6% 4%	-		-
Social Studies	2016 2015		27% 23%	4% 3%		0%	5% 5%	0%	-	*	-		*	8%	3% 2%	3%	4% 3%	-		-
STAAR Participati	on (Al	l Grade	es)																	
All Tests				99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	98% 100%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%					9% 00%	-	-
Reading				99% 99%	99% 98%	99% 99%	99% 100%	99% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	-	* 100%	98% 100%				9% 9%	-	-
Mathematics				100% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	-	* 100%	100% 100%				9% 9%	-	-
Writing				99% 99%	99% 99%	98% 100%	100% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	* 100%	-	- 100%	100% 100%				8% )0%	-	-
Science				99% 99%	99% 99%	98% 100%	100% 100%	97% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	*	93% 100%				8% )0%	-	- -
Social Studies				98% 99%	99% 99%	96% 100%	92% 100%	97% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	*	87% 100%				6% 00%	-	-
STAAR Participati	on Res	sults b	y Ass	essme	nt Typ	e for St	udents	Served	in Spec	ial Educ	ation Se	etti	ngs (All	Grades	s)					
Reading Tests % of Participants		:	2016	98%	96%	98%	100%	97%	*	_			_	98%	98%	95%	98%	-		-
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR/EOC			2016	13%	6%	0%	0%	0%	*	-			-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-		-
Accommodations			2016	73%	80%	82%	80%	83%	*	-			-	82%	81%	82%	82%	-		-
% STAAR Alter % of Non-Particip			2016 2016	11% 2%	10% 4%	16% 2%	20% 0%	14% 3%	*	-			-	16% 2%	17% 2%	14% 5%	16% 2%	-		-
Mathematics Tests % of Participants			2016	99%	98%	100%		100%	*	_			-		100%	100%		_		_
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations		No	2016	12%	6%	0%	0%	0%	*	-			-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-		-
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR Alter			2016 2016	75% 12%	81% 11%	84% 16%	80% 20%	86% 14%	*	-	 		-	84% 16%	83% 17%	86% 14%	84% 16%	-		- -

% of Non-Participants 2016 0%

### Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Performance Status - State	All Students	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ		ELL I (Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	N	N	N	0070	0070	0070	0070	0070	N	N	N		0	6	0
Mathematics	N	N	N						N	N	N		Ö	6	Ö
Writing	N	N	N						N	.,	N		Ö	5	Ö
Science	Y		Y						Y		Ϋ́		4	4	100
Social Studies	Ň		N						Ň		N		0	4	0
Total													4	25	16
Performance Status - Federa	ı														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	N	Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	Ν	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N	N	N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	Ν	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Total													12	12	100
Federal Graduation Status (T Graduation Target Met	arget: See	Reason Co	odes)										0	0	
Reason Code *** Total													0	0	
													·	·	
District: Met Federal Limits of Reading	n Alternat	ive Assessr	nents												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													16	37	43

<sup>+</sup> Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	191	37	134	9	*	8	-	*	171	9	81	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	331	70	232	12	*	11	-	*	307	45	167	156
% at Level II Satisfactory	58%	53%	58%	75%	*	73%	-	*	56%	20%	49%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics # at Level II Satisfactory	187	38	126	10	*	9	_	*	166	16	81	n/a
Standard												

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>?&#</sup>x27; Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

	All Students	African American	Hienanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Total Tests	331	70	232	12	*	11	-	*	307	<b>4</b> 5	167	156
% at Level II Satisfactory	56%	54%	54%	83%	*	82%	_	*	54%	36%	49%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	54	12	36	*	*	*	-	-	48	*	17	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	114	26	81	*	*	*	-	-	105	*	53	48
% at Level II Satisfactory	47%	46%	44%	*	*	*	-	-	46%	*	32%	n/a
Standard												
Science				_		*		*		_		
# at Level II Satisfactory	55	10	35	5	-	*	-	*	46	7	19	n/a
Standard	00	00	40	_		*		*	7.4	4.4	00	00
Total Tests	83	23	48	5 100%	-	*	-	*	74 62%	14	30	28
% at Level II Satisfactory	66%	43%	73%	100%	-		-	-	62%	50%	63%	n/a
Standard Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	42	9	25	5	_	*	_	*	33	6	11	n/a
Standard	72	3	20	3					00	O		11/4
Total Tests	81	21	48	5	_	*	_	*	72	13	30	28
% at Level II Satisfactory	52%	43%	52%	100%	_	*	_	*	46%	46%	37%	n/a
Standard	0270	1070	0270	10070					1070	1070	0.70	11/4
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme												
Number Participating	369	76	259	17	*	11	-	*	342	50	n/a	174
Total Students	373	77	262	17	*	11	-	*	345	51	n/a	175
Participation Rate	99%	99%	99%	100%	*	100%	-	*	99%	98%	n/a	99%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses		70	000	4-	*			*	0.45	- 4	,	470
Number Participating	372	76 77	262	17	*	11	-	*	345	51	n/a	176
Total Students	374	77	263	17	*	11	-	*	346	51	n/a	176
Participation Rate	99%	99%	100%	100%	^	100%	-	•	100%	100%	n/a	100%

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gradu	ation Rate (	Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gradu	ation Rate (	Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	(Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2014										
Number Graduated	· - ′	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

## **District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments**

Reading

Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

## Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority

schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

### Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

### Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%
Bachelors	28.1	82.4%	70.3%	74.7%
Masters	6.0	17.6%	28.6%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%

## Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **High Poverty**

#### Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		25	1	26
Total Number of Classes		160	17	177
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	160	17	177
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

## Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ó	Ó
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0

----- Number of Teachers ----Elem secondary (PK-6) (7-12)Non-renewable 0 **Temporary Classroom Assignment** 0 0 **District Teaching** 0 0 Temporary

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

### Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

## Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

# State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	<b>Below Basic</b>	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall .	36	64	31	7
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment