Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: BARANOFF EL Campus ID: 227901182 District Name: AUSTIN ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A				African s American ory Standar		c White		Asian	Pacific Islander		Special		ELL	Female	Male I	Migrant
Grade 3 Reading	2016 72 2015 74			* 63%	88% 91%	92% 94%	*	88% 100%	- -	89% 83%	57% 45%	79% 81%	73% 100%	87% 99%	93% 87%	- -
Mathematics	2016 74 2015 74			* 63%	85% 84%	91% 92%	*	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	43% 45%	71% 85%	82% 100%	86% 90%	93% 87%	-
Grade 4 Reading	2016 74 2015 71			*	81% 92%	97% 96%	- -	100% 100%	* -	86% 100%	* 67%	72% 89%	71% 83%	93% 95%	83% 95%	- -
Mathematics	2016 72 2015 71			*	72% 83%	85% 95%	-	100% 100%	*	86% 89%	* 50%	69% 83%	* 83%	77% 91%	82% 91%	-
Writing	2016 68 2015 67			*	78% 81%	93% 91%	-	100% 100%	-	86% 90%	* 62%	72% 67%	86%	89% 92%	82% 85%	-
Grade 5 Reading	2016 80 2015 83			*	96% 95%	96% 99%	- -	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	73% 84%	90% 95%	*	96% 100%	98% 95%	- -
Mathematics	2016 85 2015 75			*	94% 89%	97% 91%	-	100% 100%	- -	100%	73% 37%	90% 74%	*	99% 90%	95% 91%	-
Science	2016 73 2015 69			*	91% 88%	95% 96%	-	100% 100%	- -	100% 100%	60% 53%	85% 89%	*	93% 94%	95% 93%	-
All Grades All Subjects	2016 74 2015 73			68% 79%	85% 88%	93% 94%	*	99% 100%	*	94% 92%	50% 56%	77% 83%	73% 93%	90% 94%	90% 91%	- -
Reading	2016 72 2015 74			64% 75%	88% 93%	95% 97%	*	97% 100%	*	92% 95%	52% 69%	79% 88%	71% 96%	92% 98%	91% 92%	-
Mathematics	2016 75 2015 73			79% 75%	83% 85%	91% 93%	*	100% 100%	*	96% 86%	50% 43%	75% 81%	71% 96%	87% 90%	90% 89%	-
Writing	2016 68 2015 68			*	78% 81%	93% 91%	-	100% 100%	- -	86% 90%	* 62%	72% 67%	86%	89% 92%	82% 85%	-
Science	2016 77 2015 75			*	91% 88%	95% 96%	- -	100% 100%	- -	100% 100%	60% 53%	85% 89%	*	93% 94%	95% 93%	- -
STAAR Percent a	t Final Le	vel II or A	Above													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 42 2015 38			50% 57%	56% 51%	69% 67%	*	90% 91%	*	70% 68%	23% 19%	53% 43%	33% 63%	65% 63%	65% 63%	-
Reading	2016 42 2015 40			57% 58%	60% 60%	73% 78%	*	81% 88%	*	69% 82%	24% 26%	53% 58%	29% 67%	70% 72%	66% 72%	-
Mathematics	2016 40 2015 36			57% 50%	57% 48%	68% 65%	* -	100% 98%	*	77% 62%	24% 19%	52% 41%	43% 71%	64% 59%	68% 63%	-
Writing	2016 39 2015 31			*	49% 36%	61% 49%	-	87% 89%	-	29% 40%	* 8%	52% 28%	29%	57% 50%	53% 44%	-
Science	2016 44	1% 50%	64 %	*	51%	68%	-	89%	-	80%	33%	55%	*	59%	68%	-

											-1		T						
2		State 40%	Distri 45%			African merican *	Hispani 45%	c White 61%	America Indian	Α				Special		ELL -	Female 61%	Male N 57%	Vigrant -
STAAR Percent at L	evel	III Ad	vance	d															
All Grades																			
All Subjects 2	2016 2015	17% 14%	21% 19%		7% 1%	24% 14%	29% 23%	41% 37%	*		64% 59%	*	36% 47%	10% 9%	25% 21%	23% 28%	37% 35%	38% 33%	-
J		16% 15%	21% 21%		2% 3%	29% 17%	30% 34%	50% 55%	*		66% 65%	*	38% 77%	5% 14%	25% 31%	19% 29%	45% 53%	40% 45%	-
	2016 2015	17% 14%	21% 17%		9%)%	29% 17%	32% 20%	40% 32%	*		78% 65%	*	42% 33%	14% 10%	27% 19%	29% 29%	38% 28%	40% 32%	-
•	2016 2015		17% 10%		5%)%	*	21% 9%	30% 10%	-		47% 22%	- -	0% 10%	* 0%	24% 6%	29%	29% 15%	22% 7%	- -
		15% 14%	20% 19%		7% 1 %	*	23% 13%	28% 26%	-		33% 50%	-	40% 43%	13% 0%	20% 5%	*	13% 23%	39% 24%	-
STAAR Participation	ı (All	Grad	les)																
All Tests			016 015	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	98% 100%	*	1009 1009		100% 98%	98% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			
Reading			016 015	99% 99%	99% 98%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	98% 99%	*	1009 1009		100% 100%	98% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			
Mathematics			016 015	100% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	98% 100%	*	1009 1009		100% 95%	98% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			
Writing			016 015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100 ⁹		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
Science			016 015	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	* 100%	100% 98%	98% 100%	-	1009 1009		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*	100% 100%		
STAAR Participation	n Res	sults l	by As	sessm	ent Ty	pe for St	udents	Served	in Speci	al E	ducat	tion Set	tings (A	All Grad	es)				
Reading Tests % of Participants	Vith N	la.	2016	98%	96%	98%	100%	100%	94%	-	-	-	*	98%	90%	*	100%	97%	-
% STAAR/EOC W Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W		10	2016	13%	6%	14%	0%	11%	18%	-	-	-	*	14%	10%	*	18%	13%	-
Accommodations			2016	73%	80%		100%	89%	76%	-	-	-	*	84%	80%	*	82%	84%	-
% STAAR Alterna			2016	11%	10%		0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	*	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-
% of Non-Participar Mathematics Tests	าเร		2016	2%	4%	2%	0%	0%	6%	-	-	-	*	2%	10%	^	0%	3%	-
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC W	Vith N	10	2016	99%	98%		100%		94%	-	-	-	*	98%	90%	*	100%	97%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W	Vith		2016	12%	6%	14%	0%	11%	18%	-	-	-	*	14%	10%	*	18%	13%	-
Accommodations			2016	75%	81%		100%	89%	76%	-	-	-	*	84%	80%	*	82%	84%	-
% STAAR Alterna % of Non-Participar			2016	12% 1%	11%		0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 6%	-	-	-	*	0% 2%	0% 10%	*	0% 0%	0% 3%	-
76 OF NOTE-Participar	เเธ		2016	1 70	2%	2%	U%	U 70	0 70	-	-	-		2 70	10%		U%	370	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

								Two or			ELL				Percent of Eligible	
	All	African			American		Pacific				I (Current 8				Measures	
	Student	sAmerican	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored) +	Met	Eligible	Met	
Performance Status - State State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%					
Reading	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 / ₀	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 /6	00 / ₀	N	00 /0		5	6	83	
Mathematics	Ý		Ϋ́	Ý		Ý			Ý	N			5	6	83	
Writing	Ý		Ý	Ý		•			Ý	.,			4	4	100	
Science	Ý		Ϋ́	Ý					•				3	3	100	
Social Studies													Ō	Ō		
Total													17	19	89	
Performance Status - Federal																
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%					
Reading	Y		Y	Y	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N			n/a				
Mathematics	Υ		N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N			n/a				
Participation Status		/	0=0/	/		/				. = 0/						
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%		-	400	
Reading	Y		Y Y	Y		Y		Y Y	Y	Y			7	7	100	
Mathematics Total	Υ		Y	Υ		Υ		Y	Υ	Υ			7 14	7 14	100 100	
Total													14	14	100	
Federal Graduation Status (Ta Graduation Target Met	arget: Se	e Reason Co	odes)										0	0		
Reason Code *** Total													0	0		
	• • •															
District: Met Federal Limits o Reading	n Aiterna	tive Assessi	ments													
Alternate 1%	n/a															
Number Proficient	n/a															
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a															
Mathematics																
Alternate 1%	n/a															
Number Proficient	n/a															
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a															
Total													24	22	0.4	
Overall Total													31	33	94	

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) *** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10° b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d

P. 6	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	449	**	160	226	*	31	_	22	56	21	34	n/a
Standard	443		100	220		31	_	22	30	21	34	II/a
Total Tests	489	**	180	239	*	31	_	24	69	40	40	19
% at Level II Satisfactory	92%	64%	89%	95%	*	100%	_	92%	81%	53%	85%	n/a
Standard	0270	0470	0070	0070		10070		02 /0	0170	00 /0	0070	11/4
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	436	**	152	218	*	31	-	23	54	20	33	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	489	**	180	239	*	31	-	24	69	40	40	19
% at Level II Satisfactory	89%	79%	84%	91%	*	100%	-	96%	78%	50%	83%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	138	*	52	62	-	15	-	**	20	*	16	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	162	*	66	67	-	15	-	**	28	*	17	7
% at Level II Satisfactory	85%	*	79%	93%	-	100%	-	86%	71%	*	94%	n/a
Standard												
Science	400	*	40	70		**		•	40	•	•	,
# at Level II Satisfactory	139	•	46	73	-	^^	-	9	16	9	9	n/a
Standard	4.47	*				**		•	40	4=	40	*
Total Tests	147	*	50	77	-		-	9	19	15	12	
% at Level II Satisfactory	95%	•	92%	95%	-	100%	-	100%	84%	60%	75%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies # at Level II Satisfactory	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II/a
Total Tests	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total Tosts	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme	ents											
Number Participating	504	14	188	242	*	32	*	26	73	42	n/a	21
Total Students	508	14	188	246	*	32	*	26	74	43	n/a	21
Participation Rate	99%	100%	100%	98%	*	100%	*	100%	99%	98%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asset	ssments											
Number Participating	504	14	188	242	*	32	*	26	73	42	n/a	21
Total Students	508	14	188	246	*	32	*	26	74	43	n/a	21
Participation Rate	99%	100%	100%	98%	*	100%	*	100%	99%	98%	n/a	100%

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hisnanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates	Otadonto	American	mopunio	***********	maian	Asian	isianaci	Nucco	Disaav		(2701110)	(Guillit)
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate (Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate (Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	- `		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2014	ļ									
Number Graduated	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps

based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%
Bachelors	32.9	58.0%	70.3%	74.7%
Masters	23.8	42.0%	28.6%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Low Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		52	3	55
Total Number of Classes		52	3	55
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	52	3	55
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Fla	
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	0	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			•	%	%	%
Od	Oubic of	Charlent Curren	%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group Overall	Below Basic	Basic 64	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	American Indian	36		31	7
			n/a 13	n/a 87	n/a 66	n/a
		Asian Black	49	67 51	17	30 2
			49	56	22	3
		Hispanic White	44 18	82	50	ა 13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
			59	41	12	2
		English Language Learners		4 i 54	20	3
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	_	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
	-	Limited English Proficient	92

Grade	Subject Mathematics	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment