

ADDENDUM No. 5
Request for Qualifications (RFQ)
19RFQ118 Rosedale and New Northeast Middle School
Design Build

May 1, 2019

Item 1: Questions and Answers

1. Our firm is considering the addition of a subconsultant to our proposed Design/Build for the NE Middle School Project and is concerned with the intent of the section of the solicitation that addresses potential project team “changes”. In 2.2, the section cautions about “*any and all additional members that may have been added since the Step 1 Submission. (The Respondent should note that changes to the Respondent’s Prime Team Members are not permitted, and changes to Team Members are highly discouraged and will be permitted only through the process identified in Section 7.5 of this RFQ.)*” and 7.5 states:

7.5.1 Except as provided this Section 7.5.1, the Respondent is not permitted to change its Respondent Prime Team Members after the Step 1 Submission Due Date without AISD’s prior written consent. If there is any change in the Respondent Prime Team Member, a change in Control of any Respondent Prime Team Member or a material change to any Respondent Prime Team Member after the Step 1 Submission has been submitted, and such change was beyond the control of the Respondent and the applicable Respondent Prime Team Member, the Respondent must provide written notice to AISD within five (5) business days after such change. AISD may, in its sole discretion, disqualify any such Respondent and/or reject the Submission of any such Respondent if AISD, in its sole discretion, considers that the change may have a material adverse impact on the Respondent’s Submission. If AISD determines that the Respondent will not be disqualified, AISD may, in its sole discretion, permit the Respondent to propose a substitution for the applicable Respondent Prime Team Member.

Does the addition of a consultant constitute an applicable change under the aforementioned requirements and do we risk penalty for such an addition? [Our firm is] not changing prime team members and is only considering the addition of another consultant that we feel will bring added value and benefit to the project team and our overall Design/Build performance. We are not considering replacing, or supplementing the efforts of a current member firm that would reduce or alter their scope of services or fee.

- The addition of a consultant is acceptable.
2. Will we be turning in our Step 2 response at the address included on your letterhead (1111 W. 6th St., B300), or at the same location in which we turned in Step 1 (812 San Antonio St. #200)?

- You will be turning in your submissions to Step 2 at 812 San Antonio Street, Suite 200 at 2PM.
3. [Our] Team would like to confirm that the interview is discussion-focused, and that we are limited to the one-sided 11x17 placemat as our only presentation material.
- Yes.
4. It appears that the Tree and Topographic Survey that is supposed to be included on page 117 is missing from the Bridging Documents. Can you please forward to us?
- These documents have been uploaded to Miller IDS and can be found under 19RFQ118.
5. Does the Step 2 submission need to be submitted by 2:00pm CST on May 6, as it was for the Step 1 submission?
- Please see answer to question #2 above.