

ADDENDUM No. 1
Request for Proposals (RFP)
21RFP061 Planning Partner for Facilities Master Plan

December 15, 2020

Received by bidder:

Date: _____

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Item 1: Questions and Answers

1. We are requesting clarification to the HUB requirements for this solicitation. The RFQ states 22% requirement. Is there a specific ethnic requirement within this 22%? When referring to the standard HUB Professional Services requirements of 28.5%, there is a breakdown of ethnic percentages, and we wanted to be clear. Thank you for your assistance.

Answer: The HUB Goal of 22% can be any combination of WBE and/or MBE firms. We did not set a specific percentage on any category for this project. Please review our availability list (HUBATT 2) of HUB certified firms that you may consider adding to your Planning Partner team. Remember if you need to achieve the goal you must contact a minimum of (5) firms from the list by two methods - phone, fax, email, or letter.

2. Will the District have a public relations company (like Buie) under contract for this opportunity or is that the responsibility of the Planning Management Team submitting in response to the RFP?

Answer: No, the district will not have a separate public relations company under contract for this RFP. It is the responsibility of the planning team to develop a communications and engagement plan, with district guidance.

3. If a design team pursues the FMP scope of work and is selected are they excluded from doing design work as part of the next bond? If the team secures a consultant partner with a construction manager and/or general contractor to assist with cost estimating, is the CM/GC excluded from pursuing construction services as part of the next bond?

Answer: No firm that performs FMP services (whether an A/E or GC) could be legally prohibited from competing for future bond work. Yes, the vendor who works on the FMP will help determine potential projects and scope, but not will actually draft any actual project RFPs, so there is no true legal conflict that would justify preventing the FMP consultant from competing.